arXiv:1702.05686v2 [math.RT] 16 Mar 2017

On the principal series representations of semisimple groups with Frobenius maps

Xiao Yu Chen

Abstract

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group over $\Bbbk = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, the algebraically closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (the finite field with $q = p^e$ elements), and F be the standard Frobenius map. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be an F-stable Borel subgroup and $\mathbf{T}$ an F-stable maximal torus contained in $\mathbf{B}$. Set $G_{q^r} = \mathbf{G}^{F^r}$ and $B_{q^r} = \mathbf{B}^{F^r}$ for any $r > 0$. This paper studies the original induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda = \Bbbk\mathbf{G} \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{B}} \lambda$ (here $\Bbbk\mathbf{H}$ is the group algebra of the group $\mathbf{H}$, and λ is a rational character of $\mathbf{T}$ regarded as a $\mathbf{B}$-module). We show that if λ is regular and dominant, then there is a surjective $\mathbf{G}$-module homomorphism $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^r}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda \to \mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda)$ for any $r > 0$, where St is the infinite dimensional Steinberg module defined by Nanhua Xi. As a consequence, we show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ is irreducible if λ if and only if λ is regular and antidominant. Moreover, for $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and $0 < \lambda < p$, we show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ have infinite many composition factors with each finite dimensional. Consequently, we find certain λ for which $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has an infinite submodule filtration for the general $\mathbf{G}$.

1 Introduction

The decomposition of the induced modules of a given group is one of the fundamental problems in representation theory. In general, it is hard to determining such a decomposition explicitly.

In the classical theory of the (rational) representation of algebraic groups, it is well known that all finite dimensional irreducible modules comes from "inducing" (see [Jan] for details) one dimensional representations of the Borel subgoup (known as the costandard modules). In the representation theory of finite groups of Lie type, each irreducible modules occurs in some virtual representation (known as R_T^θ, see [DL] for details). Therefore, it is crucial to study the induced module from one dimensional character of a Borel subgroup. Such induced modules are often called the principal series representations.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field, and let $\mathbf{G}$ be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, and F be the standard Frobenius map. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be an F-

stable Borel subgroup and $\mathbf{T}$ an F-stable maximal torus contained in $\mathbf{B}$. As pointed out by Nanhua Xi in [Xi], some abstract representations of $\mathbf{G}$ by using the original construction of Frobenius, such as the induced modules $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}\otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{B}}\lambda$, where λ is a character of $\mathbf{B}$ inflating from an one dimensional character λ of $\mathbf{T}$, will produce some new infinite dimensional representations of $\mathbf{G}$. The Weyl group W naturally acts on the set of one dimensional characters of $\mathbf{T}$. In the case when $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers, Nanhua Xi showed in [Xi] that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ is irreducible if the stablizer of λ in W is trivial. It was also shown in [Xi] that if λ is the trivial character, then the above induced module has an irreducible submodule (denoted by St) which is called the (infinite dimensional) Steinberg module. However, in the case when $\Bbbk = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, the situation is more complicated and little is known for the structure of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ although such induced modules with $\mathbf{G}$ replaced by finite Chevalley groups have been deeply investigated. For example, in [Jan2] Jantzen constructs a filtration for such induced modules and gives the sum formulas of these filtrations correspond to those of the well known Jantzen filtrations of generic Weyl modules. In [Pil] C. Pillen proved that the socle and radical filtrations of such modules can be obtained from the filtrations of the generic Weyl modules under the similar assumption in [Jan2]. It was also showed in the same paper that these modules are rigid.

In the case of infinite groups, the situation is significantly changed. This paper serves as a start point for the study of the decompositions of such induced modules. We are interested in induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ for $\Bbbk = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ here. We now state the main results of this paper. Firstly, it is proved that for any $a > 0$ and regular dominant weight λ, $\mathrm{St}\otimes L(\lambda)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}\otimes_{\Bbbk B_{q^a}}\lambda$. As an application, we show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ is irreducible if and only if λ is regular and antidominant. This is analogous to the well known fact on the Verma modules $M(\lambda)$ in the category $\mathcal{O}$ of complex semisimple Lie algebras which says that $M(\lambda)$ is irreducible if λ is antidominant. Meanwhile, in the case when $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ we show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ have infinite many composition factors and each composition factor is finite dimensional if $0 < \lambda < p$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly recall the basic facts on reductive groups and the modular representation theory of finite

groups of Lie type. In section 3, we prove the main results of this paper. In the last section, we give an example of induced module which has infinite many composition factors. In the last section, we give some conjectures and further problems in this direction.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Nanhua Xi for his helpful suggestions and comments in writing this paper, and Professor Jianpan Wang and Naihong Hu for their advice and comments. Part of this work was done when I was a post doctor in Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Let $\Bbbk = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, the algebraically closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (the finite field with $q = p^e$ elements). Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with the standard Frobenius map F. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be an F-stable Borel subgroup and $\mathbf{T}$ an F-stable maximal torus contained in $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{U} = R_u(\mathbf{B})$ (which is F-stable). Let $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{T}$ be the Weyl group. For each $w \in W$, let $\dot{w}$ be a representative in $N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$. Let $\mathbf{B}^- = \dot{w}_0\mathbf{B}\dot{w}_0{}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{U}^- = \dot{w}_0\mathbf{U}\dot{w}_0{}^{-1}$, where w_0 is the longest element of W. For any $r > 0$, denote G_{q^r}, T_{q^r}, B_{q^r}, $B^-_{q^r}$, U_{q^r}, $U^-_{q^r}$ the corresponding F^r-fixed points. Let $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$ be the root system, and Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-) be the set of positive roots (resp. negative roots), and Δ be the set of simple roots. Let $X := X(\mathbf{T})$ be the rational character group of $\mathbf{T}$. For any $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $\mathbf{U}_\alpha$ be the root subgroup of α. We fix an isomorphism $\varepsilon_\alpha : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q \to \mathbf{U}_\alpha$ such that $t\varepsilon_\alpha(c)t^{-1} = \varepsilon_\alpha(\alpha(t)c)$ for any $t \in \mathbf{T}$ and $c \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Set $U_{\alpha,q^a} = \varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_{q^a})$. For any $w \in W$, let $\mathbf{U}_w$, U_{w,q^a} (resp. $\mathbf{U}'_w$, U'_{w,q^a}) be the subgroup of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by $\mathbf{U}_\alpha$, U_{α,q^a} respectively, with $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $w\alpha < 0$ (resp. $w\alpha > 0$). The multiplicative map $\mathbf{U}_w \times \mathbf{U}'_w \to \mathbf{U}$ (resp. $U_{w,q^a} \times U'_{w,q^a} \to U_{q^a}$) is a bijection (see [Car]).

For any $\lambda \in X$, we regard λ as a $\mathbf{B}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^-$)-module by letting $\mathbf{U}$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$) act trivially. Define the original induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda := \Bbbk\mathbf{G} \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{B}} \lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda := \Bbbk\mathbf{G} \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{B}^-} \lambda$). Clearly, for any $r > 0$, $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^r}}^{G_{q^r}}\lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^r}}^{G_{q^r}}\lambda$) (here we restrict λ to B_{q^r} (resp. $B^-_{q^r}$)) can be identified with the G_{q^r}-submodule submodule of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}}\lambda$) generated by $\mathbf{1}_\lambda := 1\otimes\lambda$

(resp. $\mathbf{1}_\lambda^- := 1 \otimes \lambda$). With this identification, it is clear that for any $a|b$, we have the natural injective G_{q^a}-module homomorphism $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^b}}^{G_{q^b}} \lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^b}^-}^{G_{q^b}} \lambda$). This family of injection forms a direct system and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$) is the direct limit of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^r}}^{G_{q^r}} \lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^r}^-}^{G_{q^r}} \lambda$) as $r \to \infty$.

As observed in [Xi], when $\lambda = \mathrm{tr}$, the trivial character, $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ produces the infinite dimensional Steinberg module. Let us recall the construction of it. For $w \in W$, let $\ell(w)$ be the length of w and $\epsilon(w) := (-1)^{\ell(w)}$. Let $\eta := \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) \dot{w} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ (this is well defined). Define the infinite dimensional Steinberg module St as the $\Bbbk\mathbf{G}$-submodule of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ generated by η. It is proved in [Xi] that $\{u\eta \mid u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ forms a basis of St. Clearly, for any $a > 0$, the $\Bbbk G_{q^a}$-submodule $\Bbbk G_{q^a}\eta$ is isomorphic to the ordinary Steinberg module (defined in [St]) which is denoted by St_a (isomorphic to $L((q^a-1)\rho)$ restricted to G_{q^a}). It is also clear that if $a|b$, then there is a natural injective G_{q^a}-module homomorphism $\mathrm{St}_a \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}_b$, and St is the corresponding direct limit of St_a as $a \to \infty$. It was proved in [Xi] and [Yang] that St is irreducible.

Next we recall some basic facts on the modular representation theory of finite groups of Lie type which is closely related to that of $\mathbf{G}$. The details can be found in [Hum]. Let $X^+ = \{\lambda \in X \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be the set of dominant weights and $X^{++} = \{\lambda \in X \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be the set of regular dominant weights. For each $\lambda \in X^+$, let $V(\lambda)$ (resp. $H^0(\lambda)$) be the corresponding Weyl modules (resp. costandard modules). It is well known that $V(\lambda)$ (resp. $H^0(\lambda)$) has the simple head (resp. socle) $L(\lambda)$, and each finite dimensional irreducible rational representations of $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in X^+$. The following fundamental restriction theorem will be used later on. The proof can be found in [St2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $X_a = \{\lambda \in X^+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle < q^a, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Then*
(1) *If $\lambda \in X_a$, then $L(\lambda)$ remains irreducible when restricted to G_{q^a};*
(2) *If $\lambda \in X_a$, then $L(\lambda)^{U_{q^a}} = \Bbbk v_\lambda$, where v_λ is the maximal vector of $L(\lambda)$.*
(3) *Each irreducible G_{q^a}-module is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in X_a$;*
(4) *If $\lambda \in X_a$, then $L(\lambda) = \Bbbk G_{q^a} v_\lambda = \Bbbk U_{q^a}^- v_\lambda$.*

For each $\lambda \in X_a$, let v be the maximal vector of $V((q^a-1)\rho + \lambda)$. Since T_{q^a} acts on v by the character λ, the multiplication map $g\mathbf{1}_\lambda \mapsto gv$ induces a

G_{q^a}-module homomorphism $\pi_a(\lambda) : \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \to kG_{q^a}v$. The next lemma was proved in [Pil], Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $q^a > 2h-1$ (h is the coxeter number) and $\lambda \in X_a$. Then $\pi_a(\lambda)$ is an isomorphism (equivalently, $\pi_a(\lambda)$ induces an injection $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \hookrightarrow V((q^a-1)\rho+\lambda))$ if and only if $\lambda \in X^{++}$.*

The following result indicate the relationship between the $\mathbf{G}$-submodule structure and the G_{q^a}-submodule structure. The proof can be found in [CPSV].

Theorem 2.3. *If M be a finite dimensional rational $\mathbf{G}$-module such that the highest weights of the composition factors of M are in X_a, then N is a $\mathbf{G}$-submodule of M if and only if N is a G_{q^a} submodule of M.*

For each $\lambda \in X^+$, let $\Pi(\lambda)$ be the set of weights of $L(\lambda)$. The following lemma is clear. For convenience, we include the proof (which is motivated by [Wang]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\lambda \in X^+$. If $(q^a-1)\rho+\nu \in X^+$ for all $\nu \in \Pi(\lambda)$, then $M_{q^a} := \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda)$ has a filtration*

$$M_{q^a} = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \cdots N_{r-1} \supset N_r = 0$$

such that $N_i/N_{i+1} \simeq H^0((q^a-1)\rho+\nu_i)$ for some $\nu_i \in \Pi(\lambda)$, and $\nu_i > \nu_j$ implies $i<j$. In particular, $H^0((q^a-1)\rho+\lambda)$ is a quotient of M_{q^a}.

Proof. By the tensor identity, we have $\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) \simeq H^0((q^a-1)\rho \otimes L(\lambda))$, where $H^0(-) = H^0(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}^-, \mathcal{L}(-))$. Moreover, $(q^a-1)\rho \otimes L(\lambda)$ has a $\mathbf{B}^-$-filtration

$$(q^a-1)\rho \otimes L(\lambda) = P_0 \supset P_1 \supset P_2 \supset \cdots P_{r-1} \supset P_r = 0$$

such that $P_i/P_{i+1} \simeq (q^a-1)\rho+\nu_i$ for some $\nu_i \in \Pi(\lambda)$, and $\nu_i > \nu_j$ implies $i<j$. Since $(q^a-1)\rho+\nu_i \in X^+$ for all i, the result follows from the long exact sequence induced by applying $H^0(-)$ to the exact sequence of $\mathbf{B}^-$-modules

$$0 \to P_{i+1} \to P_i \to (q^a-1)\rho+\nu_i \to 0$$

for all $0 \le i < r$, and the Kempf's vanishing theorem. $\square$

3 Proof of main theorems

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the corresponding simple reflections in W. Let $\mathbf{G}_i$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ generated by $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha_i}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{-\alpha_i}$. Throughout this section, we fix the homomorphism $\varphi_i : SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \to \mathbf{G}_i$ such that

$$\varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t), \quad \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_{-\alpha_i}(t).$$

The representatives are chosen so that $\dot{s}_i = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It is no harm to assume that $\dot{s}_i \in G_q$ $(i \in I)$, otherwise we replace q by a sufficient large power of q. The following lemma is similar to Lemma 1 in [St].

Lemma 3.1. *For any $s_i \in S$, we have*

$$\dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)\eta = \begin{cases} (\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1}) - 1)\eta & t \neq 0 \\ -\eta & t = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof: Assume that $t \neq 0$. Clearly, we have

$$\dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t) \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i > 0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} = \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i > 0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{s}_i\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} = -\sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i < 0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t) \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i < 0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} &= -\sum_{\substack{w_1 \in W \\ w_1^{-1}\alpha_i > 0}} \dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)\dot{s}_i\epsilon(w_1)\dot{w}_1\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= -\sum_{\substack{w_1 \in W \\ w_1^{-1}\alpha_i > 0}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1})\dot{s}_i\varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1})\epsilon(w_1)\dot{w}_1\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= -\sum_{\substack{w_1 \in W \\ w_1^{-1}\alpha_i > 0}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1})\epsilon(w_1)\dot{s}_i\dot{w}_1\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i < 0}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1})\epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)\eta &= \dot{s}_i \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t) \left(\sum_{w \in W, w^{-1}\alpha_i > 0} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} + \sum_{w \in W, w^{-1}\alpha_i < 0} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} \right) \\ &= (\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1}) - 1) \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ w^{-1}\alpha_i < 0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= (\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1}) - 1)\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1}) \sum_{\substack{w\in W\\ w^{-1}\alpha_i>0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\rm tr} = \sum_{\substack{w\in W\\ w^{-1}\alpha_i>0}} \epsilon(w)\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_{\rm tr}.$$

This completes the proof. □

It is clear that $\sum_{u\in U_{q^a}} u\eta$ is the maximal vector of St_a. Since St_a is self dual, i.e., $\mathrm{St}_a \simeq \mathrm{St}_a^*$, one identifies St_a with the space spanned by $\{\chi_u \mid u \in U_{q^a}\}$, the dual bases of $\{u\eta \mid u \in U_{q^a}\}$. It is clear that $u'\chi_u = \chi_{u'u}$ for all $u, u' \in U_{q^a}$ and hence $f_{q^a} := \sum_{u\in U_{q^a}} \chi_u$ is also the maximal vector.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $s_i \in S$, and $u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a}$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t) \in U_{s_i,q^a}$, we have*

$$\dot{s}_i\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)} = \begin{cases} \chi_{\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u'\dot{s}_i\cdot\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-t^{-1})} & \text{if } t\neq 0 \\ -\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^a}} \chi_{\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u'\dot{s}_i\cdot\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)} & \text{if } t=0 \end{cases}$$

Proof: For any $u_1 \in U'_{s_i,q^a}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b) \in U_{s_i,q^a}$, we have

$$(\dot{s}_i\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)})(u_1\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\cdot\dot{s}_i\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta),$$

and Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i\cdot\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \begin{cases} \chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}(\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-b^{-1})-1)\eta) & \text{if } b\neq 0 \\ -\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\eta) & \text{if } b=0. \end{cases}$$

Case 1: $t \neq 0$. Since $\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u_1\dot{s}_i \in U'_{s_i,q^a}$ and $t \neq 0$, we see that $\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u_1\dot{s}_i\eta) = 0$. Thus

$$\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\cdot\dot{s}_i\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\cdot\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(-b^{-1})\eta),$$

and hence

$$(\dot{s}_i\chi_{u'\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)})(u_1\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b=-t^{-1}, u_1=\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u'\dot{s}_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: $t = 0$. We have

$$(\dot{s}_i\chi_{u'})(u_1\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \chi_{u'}(\dot{s}_iu_1\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = \chi_{u'}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\cdot\dot{s}_i\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)\eta) = -\chi_{u'}(\dot{s}_iu_1\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\eta)$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}$, and the right side is equal to -1 in and only if $u_1 = \dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u'\dot{s}_i$ (otherwise is zero). In other words,

$$\dot{s}_i\chi_{u'} = -\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^a}} \chi_{\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}u'\dot{s}_i\cdot\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(b)}.$$

So the result is proved. □

Corollary 3.3. *For any $w \in W$, we have*

$$\dot{w} f_{q^a} = \epsilon(w) \sum_{u \in U'_{w^{-1},q^a}} \chi_u.$$

In particular, we have $\dot{w}_0 f_{q^a} = \epsilon(w_0)\chi_e$, where e is the neutral element of W.

Proof: We proceed by the induction on $\ell(w)$. The case $\ell(w) = 0$ is trivial. If $\ell(w) > 0$, write $w = s_i w_1$ with $s_i w_1 > w_1$. Thus one has

$$\dot{w} f_{q^a} = \epsilon(w_1) \sum_{u \in U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}} \dot{s}_i \chi_u$$

by induction. For each $u \in U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}$ write $u = u' \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)$ with $u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t) \in U_{s_i,q^a} \subset U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}$ (since $s_i w_1 > w_1$). By Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(w_1) \sum_{u \in U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}} \dot{s}_i \chi_u &= \epsilon(w_1) \sum_{\substack{u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a} \\ t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}}} \dot{s}_i \chi_{u' \cdot \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)} \\
&= \epsilon(w_1) \sum_{\substack{u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a} \\ t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}^\times}} \chi_{\dot{s}_i^{-1} u' \dot{s}_i \cdot \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)} - \epsilon(w_1) \sum_{\substack{u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a} \\ t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}}} \chi_{\dot{s}_i^{-1} u' \dot{s}_i \cdot \varepsilon_{\alpha_i}(t)} \\
&= -\epsilon(w_1) \sum_{u' \in U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}} \chi_{\dot{s}_i^{-1} u' \dot{s}_i} \\
&= \epsilon(w) \sum_{u \in U'_{w^{-1},q^a}} \chi_u,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$\dot{s}_i^{-1} (U'_{s_i,q^a} \cap U'_{w_1^{-1},q^a}) \dot{s}_i = U'_{w^{-1},q^a}.$$

This completes the proof. □

There is an involutive anti-automorphism τ of $\mathbf{G}$ which fixes $\mathbf{T}$ pointwise and interchanging the root groups $\mathbf{U}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{U}_{-\alpha}$ (see [Hum]). One uses τ to define a functor on the category of rational $\mathbf{G}$-modules, taking a module M to ${}^\tau M$. More precisely, ${}^\tau M$ is the dual space M^* with the twist $\mathbf{G}$-action $g \cdot f = f \circ \tau(g)$. Each irreducible $\mathbf{G}$-module is self dual, i.e., ${}^\tau L(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$. As τ preserves all G_{q^r}, this functor is defined on the category of G_{q^r}-modules as well.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\zeta_g \in {}^{\tau}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda)$ *be the dual bases of* $g\mathbf{1}_\lambda^- \in \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$, *where* g *runs over the canonical representatives of the left cosets* $G_{q^a}/B_{q^a}^-$. *Then there is an isomorphism* $\phi : \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \to {}^{\tau}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda)$ *such that* $\phi(\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \zeta_1$.

Proof: By definition we see that B_{q^a} acts on ζ_1 by the character λ and $g\zeta_1 = \zeta_{\tau(g)^{-1}}$, and hence ${}^{\tau}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$. It is in fact equal by comparing the dimension. □

For any finite subgroup H of $\mathbf{G}$, let $\underline{H} := \sum_{g \in H} g \in \Bbbk\mathbf{G}$. The following proposition can be found in [Pil], page 104. For convenience, we include the proof.

Proposition 3.5. *If* $0 < \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < q^a - 1$ *for all* $\alpha \in \Delta$, *then* $\mathrm{Hd}_{G_{q^a}} \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda = \mathrm{Soc}_{G_{q^a}} \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda = L(\lambda)$, *and it is generated by* $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$.

Proof: We claim that for any $\nu \in X_a$, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{q^a}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda, L(\nu)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which implies $\mathrm{Hd}_{G_{q^a}} \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda = L(\lambda)$ by Proposition 2.1 (3). In fact, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{q^a}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda, L(\nu)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{B_{q^a}}(\lambda, L(\nu)).$$

Denote v^+ the maximal vector of $L(\nu)$. Since $L(\nu)^{U_{q^a}} = \Bbbk v^+$ (Proposition 2.1 (2)), $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{B_{q^a}}(\lambda, L(\nu)) = 1$ only if T_{q^a} acts on v^+ by the character λ, and otherwise is 0. However, ν and λ represent the same character of T_{q^a} if and only if $\nu \equiv \lambda \bmod (q^a - 1)X$, and this is the case if and only if $\nu = \lambda$ by our assumption on λ. Therefore, Lemma 3.4 implies that $\mathrm{Soc}_{G_{q^a}} \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda = L(\lambda)$.

To show the remain statement. It is enough to show that $\Bbbk\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$ is the unique B_{q^a}-stable line (fixed pointwise by the p-Sylow subgroup U_{q^a}) in $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$ on which T_{q^a} acts as λ. Since $G_{q^a} = B_{q^a} W B_{q^a}^-$ and $B_{q^a} \dot{w} B_{q^a}^-$ is identified with $U'_{w^{-1},q^a} \times \{\dot{w}\} \times B_{q^a}^-$ for each $w \in W$, it is clear that

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda)^{U_{q^a}} \subset \bigoplus_{w \in W} \Bbbk\underline{U'_{w^{-1},q^a}} \dot{w} \mathbf{1}_\lambda^-.$$

Moreover T_{q^a} acts on $\underline{U'_{w^{-1},q^a}} \dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$ by the character $w\lambda$, which equals to λ if and only if $w = e$ by our assumption on λ, and this implies $\Bbbk\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$ is the unique line in $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda)^{U_{q^a}}$ on which T_{q^a} acts as λ, and this implies our claim. In particular, $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$ generates the socle of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$. □

Suppose that $\lambda \in X^{++}$ and $a > 0$. We make the following

Assumption 3.6. $q^a > 2h-1$, $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < q^a - 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, and $(q^a - 1)\rho + \nu \in X^+$ for all $\nu \in \Pi(\lambda)$.

Suppose that a and λ satisfy Assumption 3.6. Applying τ to Lemma 2.4 yields the inclusion $V((q^a-1)\rho+\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, $\pi_a(\lambda)$ induces an G_{q^a}-injection $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda)$ which maps $\mathbf{1}_\lambda$ to $f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda$. By applying τ, we see that $\pi_a(\lambda)^*$ induces a G_{q^a}-surjection $\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$ by Lemma 3.4. Identifying $\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda)$ with $L((q^a-1)\rho) \otimes L(\lambda)$ as $\mathbf{G}$-modules, it is clear that the isomorphism $\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) \to^\tau (\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda))$ maps $f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda$ to a nonzero multiple of its dual vector since $f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda$ is in the weight space of the largest weight $(q^a-1)\rho + \lambda$ which is of dimension 1, and hence $\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$ is identified with the dual vector of $f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda$ (up to a scalar) and $\pi_a(\lambda)^*(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda) \neq 0$. Moreover, B_{q^a} acts on $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$ by character λ, and so is the action of B_{q^a} on $\pi_a(\lambda)^*(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda)$. Combing the proof of Proposition 3.5 yields:

Proposition 3.7. *If a and λ satisfy Assumption 3.6, then $\pi_a(\lambda)^*$ is surjective and maps $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$ to $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}^-_\lambda$ (up to a nonzero scalar).*

Lemma 3.8. *If $\lambda \in X^+$ and $a|b$, then the following diagram in $\Bbbk G_{q^a}$-mod commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\pi_a(\lambda)^*} & \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \\ \downarrow \iota_1 & & \downarrow \iota_2 \\ \mathrm{St}_b \otimes L(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\pi_b(\lambda)^*} & \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^b}}^{G_{q^b}} \lambda, \end{array}$$

where ι_1, ι_2 are the natural inclusion (see Section 2).

Proof: By Lemma 3.4, it is equivalent to show that the following diagram in $\Bbbk G_{q^a}$-mod commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) & \xleftarrow{\pi_a(\lambda)} & \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda \\ \uparrow \iota_1^* & & \uparrow \iota_2^* \\ \mathrm{St}_b \otimes L(\lambda) & \xleftarrow{\pi_b(\lambda)} & \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^b}}^{G_{q^b}} \lambda, \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

where ι_1^*, ι_2^* are given by

$$\iota_1^*(\chi_u \otimes v) = \begin{cases} \chi_u \otimes v & u \in U_{q^a} \\ 0 & u \notin U_{q^a}, \end{cases} \quad \iota_2^*(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda & u \in U_{w^{-1},q^a} \\ 0 & u \notin U_{w^{-1},q^a}, \end{cases}$$

respectively. To see this, it is enough to show that

$$\iota_1^*\pi_b(\lambda)(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \pi_a(\lambda)\iota_2^*(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda)$$

for any $w \in W$ and $u \in U_{w^{-1},q^b}$.

If $u \in U_{w^{-1},q^a}$, then

$$\iota_1^*\pi_b(\lambda)(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \iota_1^*(u\dot{w}(f_{q^b} \otimes v_\lambda)) = u\dot{w}(f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda)$$

since ι_1^* is a G_{q^a}-homomorphism, and

$$\pi_a(\lambda)\iota_2^*(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \pi_a(\lambda)(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = u\dot{w}(f_{q^a} \otimes v_\lambda),$$

this implies our claim.

If $u \notin U_{w^{-1},q^a}$, then $\pi_a(\lambda)\iota_2^*(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = 0$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.3 we have

$$\iota_1^*(u\dot{w}(f_{q^b} \otimes v_\lambda)) = \iota_1^*\left(\sum_{u' \in U'_{w^{-1},q^b}} \epsilon(w)\chi_{uu'} \otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda\right) = 0$$

since $uu' \notin U_{q^a}$ for each $u' \in U'_{w^{-1},q^b}$. Therefore,

$$\iota_1^*\pi_b(\lambda)(u\dot{w}\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \iota_1^*(u\dot{w}(f_{q^b} \otimes v_\lambda)) = 0$$

which implies our claim. □

Taking the direct limit as $r \to \infty$ on both side of $\pi_r(\lambda)^* : \mathrm{St}_r \otimes L(\lambda) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^r}}^{G_{q^r}} \lambda$ and combining Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we obtain

Corollary 3.9. *If $\lambda \in X^{++}$, then there is a surjective $\mathbf{G}$-module homomorphism*

$$\pi_\lambda^* : \ \mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$$

mapping $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$ to a nonzero multiple of $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_\lambda^-$ if a and λ satisfy the assumption before Proposition 3.7.

Proof: It remains to prove the surjectivity. For any $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$, choose $a > 0$ such that $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda$ and the assumption on a and λ satisfy Assumption 3.6. There is a $y \in \mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) \subset \mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda)$ such that $\pi_\lambda^*(y) = \pi_a(\lambda)^*(y) = x$ since $\pi_a(\lambda)^*$ is surjective. This completes the proof. □

The following theorem is the main step in the proof of the main results.

Theorem 3.10. *If $\lambda \in X^{++}$, then $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes \dot{w}_0 v_\lambda \in \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda)$ in $\mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda)$ for some $a > 0$.*

Before the proof of this theorem, we need some preparation in the case when $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Let

$$u_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, u_t^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

For any $r > 0$, set $\mu_i(q^r) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}} t^i u_t \eta$. It is clear that $\mu_i(q^r)$ $(0 \le i \le q^r - 1)$ form a basis of St_r. A direct calculation shows that

$$s\mu_i(q^r) = (-1)^i \mu_{q^r-1-i}(q^r), \quad u_{-1}\mu_i(q^r) = \sum_{0 \le k \le i} \binom{i}{k} \mu_k(q^r). \tag{3.3}$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, there is a basis e_i $(0 \le i \le \lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ such that $u_a e_i = \sum_{0 \le j \le i} \binom{\lambda - j}{\lambda - i} a^{i-j} e_j$ and the weight of e_i is $\lambda - 2i$. Recall the following well known fact on the power sum over finite fields which will be used later on.

Lemma 3.11. *Let* $\mathbf{p}_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^a}) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}} t^k$ *and* $\mathbf{p}_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^a}^\times) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}^\times} t^k$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{p}_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^a}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q^a - 1 \mid k \text{ and } k \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad \mathbf{p}_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^a}^\times) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q^a - 1 | k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3.12. *Let* $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. *Let* V' *be a quotient of* $V(\lambda)$ *and* v_0 *its highest weight vector. Suppose that* N *is a submodule of* $\mathrm{St} \otimes V'$ *containing* $\mu_0(q^a) \otimes v_0$. *If* $\lambda < q^a - 1$ *and* $\Bbbk U_{q^a} s v_0 = \Bbbk \mathbf{U} s v_0$ *(these automatically holds if* a *is large enough), then* $\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes u s v_0 \in N$ *for any* $u \in \mathbf{U}$.

Proof. Let $V = \Bbbk \mathbf{G}(\mu_0(q^a) \otimes e_0) \subset \mathrm{St} \otimes V(\lambda)$. Set $\delta = \mu_0(q^a) \otimes e_0$. For each $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times$, denote $\sqrt{t}$ one of its square roots (in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{4a}}^\times$). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times} \sqrt{t}^\lambda t^{q^{2a}-q^a} h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})\delta &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times} t^{1-q^a} h(\sqrt{t}^{-1}) \mu_0(q^a) \otimes e_0 \\
&= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}} t^{1-q^a} u_{bt^{-1}} \eta \otimes e_0 \\
&= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^a}} t^{q^a-1} u_{bt} \eta \otimes e_0 \\
&= \mathbf{p}_{q^a-1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times) \eta \otimes e_0 + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times} c^{q^a-1} \mathbf{p}_{q^a-1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^a}^\times) u_c \eta \otimes e_0 \\
&= -\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}} c^{q^a-1} u_c \eta \otimes e_0 \\
&= -\mu_{q^a-1}(q^{2a}) \otimes e_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that $t^{q^{2a}} = t$ and $h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})e_0 = \sqrt{t}^{-\lambda}e_0$, and the fifth equality follows from Lemma 3.11. Therefore we have $\mu_{q^a-1}(q^{2a}) \otimes e_0 \in V$. By applying s, we see by (3.3) that $\delta' = \mu_{q^{2a}-q^a}(q^{2a}) \otimes e_\lambda \in V$. Since

$$u_{-1}\delta' = \sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le q^{2a}-q^a \\ 0 \le j \le \lambda}} (-1)^{\lambda-j} \binom{q^{2a}-q^a}{k} \mu_k(q^{2a}) \otimes e_j \tag{3.4}$$

by (3.3) and $h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})\mu_k(q^{2a}) = t^k\mu_k(q^{2a})$, $h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})e_j = \sqrt{t}^{2j-\lambda}e_j$, it follows that

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times} t^{-\lambda}\sqrt{t}^{\lambda} h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})u_{-1}\delta' = \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times \\ 0 \le k \le q^{2a}-q^a \\ 0 \le j \le \lambda}} (-1)^{\lambda-j} t^{\lambda-j+k} \binom{q^{2a}-q^a}{k} \mu_k(q^{2a}) \otimes e_j. \tag{3.5}$$

If $j < \lambda$ or $k > 0$, then $0 < \lambda - j + k \le q^{2a} - q^a + \lambda < q^{2a} - 1$, hence $\mathbf{p}_{\lambda-j+k}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times) = 0$, and in turn the coefficient of $\mu_k(q^{2a}) \otimes e_j$ in the right side of (3.5) is zero. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2a}}^\times} t^{-\lambda}\sqrt{t}^{\lambda} h(\sqrt{t}^{-1})u_{-1}\delta' = -\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes e_\lambda \in V,$$

and hence $\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes e_\lambda \in V$. This implies that $\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes e_\lambda \in \Bbbk G_{q^{4a}}(\mu_0(q^a) \otimes e_0)$ in $\mathrm{St} \otimes V(\lambda)$, and hence $\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes sv_0 \in \Bbbk G_{q^{4a}}(\mu_0(q^a) \otimes v_0)$ by applying the projection $\mathrm{St} \otimes V(\lambda) \to \mathrm{St} \otimes V'$. Since $usv_0 \in \Bbbk U_{q^a} sv_0$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$ by assumption, we have

$$\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes usv_0 \in \Bbbk U_{q^a}(\mu_0(q^{2a}) \otimes sv_0) \subset \Bbbk G_{q^{4a}}(\mu_0(q^a) \otimes v_0) \subset N$$

as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 3.10: For each $w \in W$, we abbreviate the following property to $\mathrm{P}(w)$:

$\exists a > 0$ such that if $a|r$, then $\underline{U_{q^r}}\eta \otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda \in \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda)$ for any $u \in \Bbbk\mathbf{U}$.

We will show that $\mathrm{P}(w)$ holds for all $w \in W$ (which implies the theorem) by the induction on $\ell(w)$.

The case $\ell(w) = 0$ is trivial. Suppose that $\ell(w) > 0$, write $w = s_i w_1 > w_1$ for some $s_i \in S$. Choose $a > 0$ so that $\mathrm{P}(w_1)$ holds, $\langle w_1\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < q^a - 1$, and

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{U}_{s_i}\dot{w}v_\lambda = \Bbbk U_{s_i,q^a}\dot{w}v_\lambda,\ \Bbbk\mathbf{U}\dot{w}v_\lambda = \Bbbk U_{q^{4a}}\dot{w}v_\lambda, \tag{3.6}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda &= \sum_{u\in U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U'_{s_i,q^a}} u\underline{U'_{s_i,q^a}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda\\ &= \sum_{u\in U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U'_{s_i,q^a}} u\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda\\ &= \sum_{u\in U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U'_{s_i,q^a}} u(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta\otimes u^{-1}\dot{w}_1v_\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

here $u\in U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U'_{s_i,q^a}$ means that u runs over the representatives of left cosets $U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U'_{s_i,q^a}$, and the others are similar. It follows that

$$\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda\in\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta\otimes v_\lambda) \tag{3.7}$$

by $\mathrm{P}(w_1)$.

Since $\mathbf{U}_{s_i}\subset\mathbf{U}_{w_1^{-1}}$, $\dot{w}_1v_\lambda$ is $\mathbf{U}_{s_i}$-invariant, and hence $\Bbbk\mathbf{G}_i\dot{w}_1v_\lambda$ is a quotient of $V(\langle w_1\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee\rangle)$ as $\mathbf{G}_i$-module. By (3.6) and the proof of Lemma 3.12, for any $u\in\Bbbk\mathbf{U}_{s_i}$, there is a $g\in\Bbbk G_{i,q^{4a}}$ such that

$$g(\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda)=\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda.$$

Since for any $g'\in G_{i,q^{4a}}$, $g'\otimes g'$ commutes with $\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\otimes 1$ as linear operators on $\mathrm{St}\otimes L(\lambda)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}g(\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda) &= (\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\otimes 1)g(\underline{U_{s_i,q^a}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}_1v_\lambda)\\ &= (\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\otimes 1)(\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda)\\ &= \underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda\in\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta\otimes v_\lambda) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $u\in\Bbbk\mathbf{U}_{s_i}$ by (3.7). Notice that

$$\sum_{u'\in U_{s_i,q^{4a}}/U_{s_i,q^{2a}}} u'(\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}v_\lambda)=\underline{U_{q^{4a}}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}v_\lambda+(*),$$

where $(*)$ is a combination of the vectors $u'(\underline{U'_{s_i,q^{4a}}}\cdot\underline{U_{s_i,q^{2a}}}\eta\otimes u''\dot{w}v_\lambda)$ with $u',u''\in\mathbf{U}_{s_i}$. It follows that $(*)$ is in $\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta\otimes v_\lambda)$ by (3.8), and hence

$$\underline{U_{q^{4a}}}\eta\otimes\dot{w}v_\lambda\in\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta\otimes v_\lambda).$$

If $4a|r$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{U_{q^r}}\eta \otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda &= \sum_{u' \in U_{q^r}/U_{q^{4a}}} u'\underline{U_{q^{4a}}}\eta \otimes u\dot{w}v_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{u' \in U_{q^r}/U_{q^{4a}}} u'(\underline{U_{q^{4a}}}\eta \otimes u'^{-1}u\dot{w}v_\lambda) \\
&\in \sum_{u' \in U_{q^r}/U_{q^{4a}}} u'\Bbbk U_{q^{4a}}(\underline{U_{q^{4a}}}\eta \otimes \dot{w}v_\lambda) \\
&\subset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third line follows from (3.6). This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.13. *If $\lambda \in X^{++}$, then we have $\mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda) = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda)$. In particular, $\mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda) = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_{q^b}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda)$ for any $b > 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.10, we have $\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda) \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes \dot{w}_0 v_\lambda)$ for some $a > 0$. Since $\mathrm{St}_a = \Bbbk U_{q^a}^- \underline{U_{q^a}}\eta$ and $L(\lambda) = \Bbbk U_{q^a}\dot{w}_0 v_\lambda$, we have

$$\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda) = \Bbbk G_{q^a}(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes \dot{w}_0 v_\lambda).$$

It follows that

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_q}\eta \otimes v_\lambda) \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\mathrm{St}_a \otimes L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda),$$

and the first statement follows. Since q is any power of p, it is no harm to replace q by q^b, and hence the second statement holds. □

Corollary 3.14. *If $a > 0$ and $\lambda \in X^{++}$, then there is a surjective $\mathbf{G}$-module homomorphism $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^G \lambda \to \mathrm{St} \otimes L(\lambda)$ mapping $\mathbf{1}_\lambda$ to $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$.*

Proof. Since B_{q^a} acts on $\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes v_\lambda$ by the character λ, this follows immediately from Corollary 3.13. □

Corollary 3.15. *If $\lambda \in w_0 X^{++}$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ is irreducible.*

Proof. We will show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}x$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$. Choose a such that $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}}^{G_{q^a}} \lambda = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}}(w_0\lambda)$ and a and $w_0\lambda$ satisfy Assumption 3.6. Since $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_{w_0\lambda}^-$ generates the G_{q^a}-socle of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{q^a}^-}^{G_{q^a}}(w_0\lambda)$ by Proposition 3.5, we have $\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_{w_0\lambda}^- \in \Bbbk G_{q^a}x$, and hence $\Bbbk\mathbf{G}\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_{w_0\lambda}^- \subset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}x$. On the other hand, combining Corollary 3.9 and 3.13 yields

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}\underline{U_{q^a}}\mathbf{1}_{w_0\lambda}^- = \pi_{w_0\lambda}^*(\Bbbk\mathbf{G}(\underline{U_{q^a}}\eta \otimes \dot{w}_0 v_\lambda)) = \pi_{w_0\lambda}^*(\mathrm{St} \otimes L(w_0\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}^-}^{\mathbf{G}} w_0\lambda = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda,$$

and hence $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}x$ which completes the proof. □

For any $\alpha_i \in \Delta$, let $\mathbf{P}_i = \mathbf{B}\dot{s}_i\mathbf{B}$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup and $\mathbf{L}_i$ the Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_i$. Let $\mathbf{U}_i$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_i$. Then $\mathbf{P}_i = \mathbf{L}_i \ltimes \mathbf{U}_i$. Moreover, $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{L}_i$ is a Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{L}_i$. For $\lambda \in X^+$, set $M_i(\lambda) = \Bbbk\mathbf{L}_i \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{B}_i} \lambda$. Let $\mathbf{U}_i$ act on $M_i(\lambda)$ trivially. Then $M_i(\lambda)$ becomes a $\mathbf{P}_i$-module. The following result is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.2 in [Xi].

Lemma 3.16. $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ *is isomorphic to* $\Bbbk\mathbf{G} \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{P}_i} M_i(\lambda)$.

Corollary 3.17. *If* $\lambda \notin w_0 X^{++}$, *then* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ *is reducible.*

Proof. By assumption, $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ for some $\alpha_i \in \Delta$. Denote $\mathbf{P}_i$, $\mathbf{L}_i$, $\mathbf{B}_i$, $M_i(\lambda)$ as above. Since the functor $\Bbbk\mathbf{G} \otimes_{\Bbbk\mathbf{P}_i} -$ is exact ($\Bbbk\mathbf{G}$ is free over $\Bbbk\mathbf{P}_i$), it suffices to show that $M_i(\lambda)$ is reducible by Lemma 3.16. Since $\mathbf{L}_i$ is a reductive group of rank 1, there is a central isogeny $\pi : SL_2 \times T' \to \mathbf{L}_i$ which maps $\mathbf{B}_{SL_2} \times T'$ to $\mathbf{B}_i$, and $\mathbf{T}_{SL_2} \times T'$ to $\mathbf{T}$, where T' is a torus. As $SL_2 \times T'$-modules, $M_i(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}_{SL_2}}^{SL_2} \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle\right) \otimes \lambda'$ which has a proper quotient $V(\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle) \otimes \lambda'$, where λ' is the restriction of λ to T' via π. Therefore $M_i(\lambda)$ is reducible and this completes the proof. □

Combining Corollary 3.15 and 3.17 yields:

Theorem 3.18. $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ *is irreducible if and only if* $\lambda \in w_0 X^{++}$.

Remark 3.19. Keeping the same assumption on λ as Corollary 3.15, it is clear that $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q} \lambda$ is not irreducible in general. For example, let $G_q = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since -1 and $q-2$ are the same B_q-characters, $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q}(-1) = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_q}^{G_q}(q-2)$ has a proper quotient $L(q-2)$ and hence it is reducible.

4 Examples for $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

Throughout this section, set $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $u_t, u_t^-, s, h(t)$ as (3.2), and assume that $0 < \lambda < p$. We will show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has infinite many composition factors, and each composition factor is finite dimensional.

Let $\tilde{q} = p^d$ be any power of p. Let $v_i(\tilde{q}) = x^{\tilde{q}-1-\lambda-i} y^i$ $(0 \leq i \leq \tilde{q}-1-\lambda)$ be the standard bases of $H^0(\tilde{q}-1-\lambda)$ (regarded as the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $\tilde{q}-1-\lambda$), and the action of $\mathbf{U}$ is given by

$$u_a v_i(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq i} \binom{i}{k} a^{i-k} v_k(\tilde{q}) \tag{4.1}$$

The submodule structures of Weyl modules (equivalently, costandard modules) of type A_1 can be calculated explicitly by admissible sequences (see [De]). In particular, the $G_{\tilde{q}}$-submodule structure (equivalently, the $\mathbf{G}$-submodule structure by Theorem 2.3) of $H^0(\tilde{q}-1-\lambda)$ is given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a composition series*

$$H^0(\tilde{q}-1-\lambda) = \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p^{d-1}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) \supset \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p^{d-2}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) \supset \cdots \supset \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) \supset \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_0(\tilde{q})$$

of $G_{\tilde{q}}$-modules such that

$$\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p^{i+1}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) / \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p^i-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) \simeq L(\tilde{q} - 2p^{i+1} + \lambda - 1)$$

for $i > 0$ and

$$\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_{p-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) / \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}} v_0(\tilde{q}) \simeq L(\tilde{q} - 2p + \lambda - 1).$$

Lemma 4.2. *For any $r > 0$, let $K_{\tilde{q}^r}$ be the kernel of the map $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda \to L(\lambda)$. Then we have*

(1) *$K_{\tilde{q}^r}$ is isomorphic to $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$.*

(2) *There is an injective $G_{\tilde{q}}$-module homomorphism $K_{\tilde{q}} \hookrightarrow K_{\tilde{q}^r}$.*

Proof. By dualizing $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda \to V(\lambda)$, and Lemma 3.4, we see that

$$H^0(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}^-}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} (-\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} (\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda),$$

here we only use the fact that $0 < \lambda < \tilde{q}^r - 1$. Replacing λ by $\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda$ yields the inclusion $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda$, and hence $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$ is in the radical of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda$ by Proposition 3.5. Comparing the dimension yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda \to L(\lambda) \to 0, \tag{4.2}$$

and in turn (1) holds. The statement (2) follows immediately from the definition of $K_{\tilde{q}}$ and $K_{\tilde{q}^r}$. □

Now we start to calculate the inclusion $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\tilde{q}^r}}^{G_{\tilde{q}^r}} \lambda$ explicitly. Consider the elements $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^i u_t s \mathbf{1}_\lambda$ $(0 \le i < \tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$, $(-1)^\lambda \mathbf{1}_\lambda + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^{\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda} u_t s \mathbf{1}_\lambda$ in $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$. A direct calculation shows that for any $0 \le i \le \tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda$, we have

$$u_1 (-1)^i \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^i u_t s \mathbf{1}_\lambda = \sum_{0 \le k \le i} \binom{i}{k} (-1)^k \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^k u_t s \mathbf{1}_\lambda,$$

$$h(b)\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^i u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda = b^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda-2i}\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^i u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda, \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$s\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^i u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda = \begin{cases} (-1)^i \sum\limits_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda-i} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda & 0 < i \le \tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda \\ (-1)^\lambda \mathbf{1}_\lambda + \sum\limits_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda & i = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.1), (4), and (4.4), we see that the map

$$v_k(\tilde{q}^r) \mapsto \begin{cases} (-1)^k \sum\limits_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^k u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda & 0 \le k < \tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda \\ (-1)^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda}\left((-1)^\lambda \mathbf{1}_\lambda + \sum\limits_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} t^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda\right) & k = \tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

gives the desired inclusion.

By Lemma 4.2, if $a|b$, then there is an injective G_{p^a}-homomorphism $H^0(p^a-1-\lambda) \to H^0(p^b-1-\lambda)$. Define the direct limit $H^0_{-\lambda} = \bigcup\limits_{a>0} H^0(p^a-1-\lambda)$ (which is a $\mathbf{G}$-module). Taking direct limits as $a\to\infty$ to (4.2) yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0_{-\lambda} \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda \to L(\lambda) \to 0. \tag{4.6}$$

We will show that $H^0_{-\lambda}$ has infinite many composition factors with each finite dimensional. By Lemma 4.2, one can regard $v_i(\tilde{q})$ as an element of $H^0(\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda)$. With this identification, we have

Lemma 4.3. *For any $0 \le i \le \tilde{q}-1-\lambda$ and $r>1$, we have*

$$v_i(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} v_{i+k(\tilde{q}-1)}(\tilde{q}^r)$$

in $H^0(\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda)$.

Proof. Notice that for $t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} t^{k(\tilde{q}-1)} = \begin{cases} (t^{\tilde{q}^r-1}-1)/(t^{\tilde{q}-1}-1) = 0 & t\notin\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}} \\ 1 & t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (4.5) that if $i < \tilde{q} - 1 - \lambda$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} v_{i+k(\tilde{q}-1)}(\tilde{q}^r) &= \sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} (-t)^{i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} (-t)^{k(\tilde{q}-1)} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}} (-t)^{i-1} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda \\ &= v_i(\tilde{q}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} v_{\tilde{q}-1-\lambda+k(\tilde{q}-1)}(\tilde{q}^r) &= \sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}^r}} (-t)^{\tilde{q}-1-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}} (-t)^{k(\tilde{q}-1)} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda + (-1)^\lambda (-1)^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda}\mathbf{1}_\lambda \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{q}^r-1-\lambda}\left(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_{\tilde{q}}} t^{\tilde{q}-1-\lambda} u_t s\mathbf{1}_\lambda + (-1)^\lambda \mathbf{1}_\lambda\right) \\ &= v_{\tilde{q}-1-\lambda}(\tilde{q}),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $r > 0$ and V be a $G_{\tilde{q}^r}$-submodule of $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$. If $v = \sum_i c_i v_i(\tilde{q}^r) \in V$, then $c_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow v_j(\tilde{q}^r) \in V$.*

Proof. Clearly, the result holds when $G_{\tilde{q}^r}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{G}$. Since all highest weights of the composition factors of $H^0(\tilde{q}^r - 1 - \lambda)$ is $\tilde{q}^r$-restricted, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.3. □

Lemma 4.5. *If $0 \leq t < d$ and $r > 0$, then $\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_0(\tilde{q}) = \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^{(r-1)d}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}^r)$ and $\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^t-\lambda}(\tilde{q}) = \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^{(r-1)d+t}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}^r)$ for $t > 0$. In particular, we have $\Bbbk\mathbf{G} v_0(p) = H^0_{-\lambda}$.*

Proof. In this proof, we need the following well known formula: Suppose that $m = \sum_{s\geq 0} a_s p^s$ and $n = \sum_{s\geq 0} b_s p^s$ with $0 \leq a_i, b_i < p$. Then we have

$$\binom{m}{n} = \prod_{s\geq 0} \binom{a_s}{b_s} \pmod p. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.1), Lemma 4.1, 4.4, we see that $\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_i(\tilde{q}^r) = \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^a-\lambda}(\tilde{q}^r)$ if and only if $\binom{i}{p^a-\lambda} \neq 0 \pmod p$ and $\binom{i}{p^b-\lambda} = 0 \pmod p$ for any $b > a$.

Since

$$\tilde{q}^{r-1} - 1 = (\tilde{q}-1)(1 + \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-2}) < (\tilde{q}-1)(\tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-1}),$$

we have $v_{\tilde{q}^{r-1}-1}(\tilde{q}^r) \in \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_0(\tilde{q})$ by Lemma 4.3, 4.4. Moreover, by (4.7) we see that $\binom{\tilde{q}^{r-1}-1}{p^{(r-1)d}-\lambda} \neq 0 \pmod p$, hence $v_{p^{(r-1)d}-\lambda} \in \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_0(\tilde{q})$ by (4.1) and $\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_0(\tilde{q}) \supset \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^{(r-1)d}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}^r)$.

It remains to show that $\binom{k(\tilde{q}-1)}{p^{(r-1)d+i}-\lambda} = 0 \pmod p$ for all $0 \leq k \leq \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-1}$ and $i > 0$. Suppose that $\binom{k(\tilde{q}-1)}{p^{(r-1)d+i}-\lambda} \neq 0 \pmod p$ for some $i > 0$. It follows from (4.7) and the p-adic expression of $p^{(r-1)d+i} - \lambda$ that

$$k(\tilde{q}-1) = \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^{(r-1)d+j} + \sum_{j=1}^{(r-1)d+i-1} (p-1)p^j + c$$

with $0 \leq a_i < p$ and $p - \lambda \leq c < p$. Denote RHS the right side of the above formula. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^{(r-1)d+j} + p(p^{(r-1)d+i-1} - 1) + c \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^j + p(p^{i-1} - 1) + c \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (p-1)p^j + c \pmod{\tilde{q}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{q} - 1 | \,\text{RHS}$ and $i > 0$, we have $a_i = \cdots = a_{d-1} = c = p - 1$ in which case $k(\tilde{q}-1) = \tilde{q}^r - 1$ and $k = 1 + \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-1}$. This contradicts to the assumption on k. This completes the proof of the first statement.

Assume that $t > 0$. Since $p^t(1 + \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-2}) < \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-1}$ and

$$p^t(\tilde{q}^{r-1} - 1) = (\tilde{q}-1)p^t(1 + \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-2}),$$

we have $v_{p^{(r-1)d+t}-\lambda}(\tilde{q}^r) = v_{p^t-\lambda+p^t(\tilde{q}^{r-1}-1)}(\tilde{q}^r) \in \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^t-\lambda}(\tilde{q})$ by Lemma 4.3, 4.4, and hence $\Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^{(r-1)d+t}-\lambda} \subset \Bbbk G_{\tilde{q}^r} v_{p^t-\lambda}(\tilde{q})$.

It remains to show that $\binom{p^t-\lambda+k(\tilde{q}-1)}{p^{(r-1)d+i}-\lambda} = 0 \pmod p$ for all $0 \leq k \leq \tilde{q} + \cdots + \tilde{q}^{r-1}$ and $i > t$. Suppose that $\binom{p^t-\lambda+k(\tilde{q}-1)}{p^{(r-1)d+i}-\lambda} \neq 0 \pmod p$ for $i > t$. It follows from the p-adic expression of $p^{(r-1)d+i} - \lambda$ and (4.7) that

$$p^t - \lambda + k(\tilde{q}-1) = \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^{(r-1)d+j} + \sum_{j=1}^{(r-1)d+i-1} (p-1)p^j + c$$

with $0 \leq a_j < p$ and $p - \lambda \leq c < p$, and hence

$$k(\tilde{q}-1) = \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^{(r-1)d+j} + \sum_{j=t}^{(r-1)d+i-1} (p-1)p^j + c - p + \lambda.$$

Denote RHS for the right side of the above formula. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RHS} &= \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^{(r-1)d+j} + p^t(p^{(r-1)d+i-t}-1) + c - p + \lambda \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^j + p^t(p^{i-t}-1) + c - p + \lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} a_j p^j + \sum_{j=t}^{i-1}(p-1)p^j + c - p + \lambda \pmod{\tilde{q}-1}\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{q}-1|\,\text{RHS}$ and $i > t$, we have $a_i = \cdots = a_{d-1} = p-1 = c-p+\lambda$ and $t = 1$. In this case $k = 1+\tilde{q}+\cdots+\tilde{q}^{r-1}$ which is also a contradiction as above. This completes the proof. □

Now the structure of $H^0_{-\lambda}$ can be stated in terms of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *If $m|n$, then $H^0_{-\lambda} = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_1(p)$ and there is a submodule chain*

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_0(p^m) = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p^{n-m}-\lambda}(p^n) \supset \cdots \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p^2-\lambda}(p^n) \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p-\lambda}(p^n) \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_0(p^n)$$

such that

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p^{i+1}-\lambda}(p^n)/\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p^i-\lambda}(p^n) \simeq L(\lambda-1)\otimes L(p^n-2p^{i+1})^{[-n]} \tag{4.8}$$

for $0 < i \le n-m$, and

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p-\lambda}(p^n)/\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_0(p^n) \simeq L(\lambda-1)\otimes L(p^n-2p)^{[-n]} \tag{4.9}$$

where $[j]$ means twisting by F^j.

Proof. Denote $a = n/m$. Using Lemma 4.5, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}}v_0(p^m) &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}}v_{p^{(at-1)m}-\lambda}(p^{nt}) \\ &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}}v_{p^{nt-m}-\lambda}(p^{nt}) \\ &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}}v_{p^{(t-1)n+n-m}-\lambda}(p^{nt}) \\ &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}}v_{p^{n-m}-\lambda}(p^n)\end{aligned}$$

for any $t \ge 1$. Combining with $\mathbf{G} = \bigcup_{t\ge1} G_{p^{nt}}$ one gets

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_0(p^m) = \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_{p^{n-m}-\lambda}(p^n) \supset \Bbbk\mathbf{G}v_0(p^n)$$

by Lemma 4.4. For any $t \geq 1$, Lemma 4.1 and 4.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{i+1}-\lambda}(p^n)/\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^i-\lambda}(p^n) &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{(t-1)n+i+1}-\lambda}(p^{nt})/\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{(t-1)n+i}-\lambda}(p^{nt}) \\
&\simeq L(p^{nt} - 2p^{(t-1)n+i+1} + \lambda - 1) \\
&\simeq L(p^{(t-1)n}(p^n - 2p^{i+1}) + \lambda - 1) \\
&\simeq L(\lambda - 1) \otimes L(p^n - 2p^{i+1})^{[(t-1)n]} \\
&\simeq L(\lambda - 1) \otimes (L(p^n - 2p^{i+1})^{[-n]})^{[nt]} \\
&\simeq L(\lambda - 1) \otimes L(p^n - 2p^{i+1})^{[-n]}
\end{aligned}$$

as $G_{p^{nt}}$-modules (note that this quotient is independent of t) for $i > 0$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p-\lambda}(p^n)/\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_0(p^n) &= \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{(t-1)n+1}-\lambda}(p^{nt})/\Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{(t-1)n}-\lambda}(p^{nt}) \\
&\simeq L(p^{nt} - 2p^{(t-1)n+1} + \lambda - 1) \\
&\simeq L(\lambda - 1) \otimes L(p^n - 2p)^{[-n]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the direct limit as $t \to \infty$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^i-\lambda}(p^n) \to \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p^{i+1}-\lambda}(p^n) \to L(\lambda - 1) \otimes L(p^n - 2p^{i+1})^{[-n]} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_0(p^n) \to \Bbbk G_{p^{nt}} v_{p-\lambda}(p^n) \to L(\lambda - 1) \otimes L(p^n - 2p)^{[-n]} \to 0$$

yields (4.8) and (4.9). This completes the proof. □

Combining (4.6) and Theorem 4.6 yields

Corollary 4.7. *If $0 < \lambda < p$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has infinite many composition factors and each composition factor is finite dimensional.*

Corollary 4.8. *For general $\mathbf{G}$, if $0 < \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has an infinite submodule filtration.*

Proof. Keeping the notation in the proof of Corollary 3.17. It suffices to show that $M_i(\lambda)$ has infinite composition factors by Lemma 3.16. In fact, as $SL_2 \times T$-modules, $M_i(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}_{SL_2}}^{SL_2} \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle\right) \otimes \lambda'$ which has infinite composition factors by Corollary 4.7. This completes the proof. □

5 Further developments

This paper serves as the start point to study the "principal series" modules of the abstract representation of $\mathbf{G}$. In this section we introduce some further questions in this direction.

• Motivated by Corollary 4.7 and 4.8, it is reasonable to make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5.1. If $0 < \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has infinite many composition factors and each composition factor is finite dimensional.

Conjecture 5.2. If $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ has a infinite submodule filtration.

• The following problems naturally arises

Problem 5.3. Classifying all the simple modules which occur as a subquotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ for some λ.

Problem 5.4. Developing a "category $\mathcal{O}$" theory such that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}} \lambda$ plays the role of Verma modules.

References

[Car] R. W. Carter. Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1985.

[CPSV] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, W. Van der Kallen. Rational and Generic Cohomology. Invent. math, 39 (1977), 143–163.

[De] D. I. Deriziotis. The Submodule Structure of Weyl Modules for Groups of Type A_1. Commun. Algebra, 9 (1981), 247–265.

[DL] P. Deligne, G. Lusztig. Representations of Reductive Groups Over Finite Fields. Ann Math, 1976, 103: 103C161.

[Hum] J. E. Humphreys. Modular Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 326, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[Jan] J. C. Jantzen. Representations of Algebraic Groups (2nd ed.). Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2003.

[Jan2] J. C. Jantzen. Filtrierungen der Darstellungen in der Hauptserie endlicher Chevalley-Gruppen. Proc. London Math. Soc. 49 (3) (1984), 445–482.

[Pil] C. Pillen. Loewy Series for Principal Series Representations of Finite Chevalley Groups. J. Algebra, 189 (1997), 101–124.

[St] R. Steinberg. Prime Power Representations of Finite Linear Groups II. Can. J. Math, 9 (1957), 347-351.

[St2] R. Steinberg. Representations of Algebraic Groups. Nagoya Math. J, 22 (1963), 33–56.

[Wang] Jianpan Wang. Sheaf Cohomology on G/B and Tensor Products of Weyl Modules. J. Algebra, 77 (1982), 162–185.

[Xi] Nanhua Xi. Some Infinite Dimensional Representations of Reductive Groups With Frobenius Maps. Science China Mathematics, 57 (2014), vol 6, 1109-1120.

[Yang] Ruotao Yang. Irreducibility of Infinite Dimensional Steinberg Modules of Reductive Groups with Frobenius Maps. arxiv: 1507.03795v2.